EDISP (FILTlect) (English) Digital Signal Processing Filters & filter design

April 28, 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

# A simple FIR filter



• Transversal structure - implements linear convolution

$$y(n) = b_0 x(n) + b_1 x(n-1) + b_2 x(n-2) + b_3 x(n-3) + b_4 x(n-4)$$
  

$$Y(z) = b_0 X(z) + b_1 X(z) z^{-1} + b_2 X(z) z^{-2} + b_3 X(z) z^{-3} + b_4 X(z) z^{-4}$$
  

$$Y(z) = X(z) (b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2} + b_3 z^{-3} + b_4 z^{-4})$$
  

$$H(z) = Y(z)/X(z) = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2} + b_3 z^{-3} + b_4 z^{-4}$$

• Impulse response

$$h(n) = b_0 \delta(n) + b_1 \delta(n-1) + b_2 \delta(n-2) + b_3 \delta(n-3) + b_4 \delta(n-4)$$

# A simple FIR filter

#### Frequency response



- It is easy to make the part (...) real-valued by introducing symmetry of coefficients
- The polynomial of 4th order will have 4 roots (=zeros of the transfer function)
- Zeros at the unit circle = notches in frequency response

# A simple IIR system (biquadratic section)



Numerator = a polynomial of 2nd order —> two zeros of transfer function

#### A fourth order IIR system



• Four poles in the vicinity of  $\theta = 0$ , one four-fold zero at  $\theta = \pi$ 

#### A fourth order IIR system



• Z-plane viewed in 3D

### A fourth order IIR system



- Four poles in the vicinity of  $\theta = 0$ , one four-fold zero at  $\theta = \pi$
- Poles keep passband up
- Zeros form the stopband

An LTI system is described with its impulse response

$$\begin{array}{c|c} x[n] \\ \hline \end{array} \\ h(k) \\ \hline y(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k) \cdot x(n-k) \end{array}$$

 $A(\theta)$ 

 $\varphi(\theta)$ 

 $\tau(\theta)$ 

which is a description in time domain — but we are interested in its properties in the frequency domain (frequency response)

$$H(e^{j\theta}) = H(z)|_{z=e^{j\theta}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n)e^{-jn\theta}$$

Magnitude of fr. response Phase of fr. response Group delay

$$= |H(e^{i\theta})|$$
  
= arg[H(e^{i\theta})]  
= -d\varphi(\theta)/d\theta

# Filter design



• Approximation: find best rational function which fits specifications

$$\frac{b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \ldots + b_M z^{-M}}{1 + a_1 z^{-1} + \ldots + a_N z^{-N}} \quad (\text{IIR})$$

or

$$b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \ldots + b_M z^{-M}$$
 (FIR)

determine order and coefficients, check stability

Implementation: structure, noise, hardware/software ...

We may check stability:

- from impulse response  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |h(k)| < \infty$
- at first glance: FIR is always stable (see above)
- from H(z): a pole  $d_k$  produces a term

$$\frac{A_k}{1 - d_k z^{-1}}, \ A_k = (1 - d_k z^{-1}) \cdot X(z) \big|_{z = d_k}$$

in the partial fraction expansion of H(z);

 $\frac{1}{1-d_k z^{-1}}$  is a *Z* transform of  $d_k^n u(n)$ , which is a stable term in h(n) if  $|d_k| < 1$ .

 $\longrightarrow$  all poles must be inside unit circle |z|=1 (for a stable causal system) outside for an anticausal one

• by time-domain analysis by hand (recommended only as last resort)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Side remarks on mathematics

A fundamental formula (*absolute convergence*):  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |h(k)| < \infty$  is sometimes misunderstood:

- It is NOT enough to check  $h(k) \rightarrow 0 a$  counterexample:  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1/k$  diverges
- It is NOT enough to check it without absolute value:  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k) < \infty - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \text{ converges to ln 2, but if you apply absolute value you will get } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1/k \text{ which diverges}$

For the last case do a math experiment: convolve  $h(k) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k}$ 

• with x(n) = 1 – you will get an y(n) approaching ln 2 for large n

• with 
$$x(n) = (-1)^n$$
 – you will get a divergent  $y(n)$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

- LP lowpass a basic type
- **HP** highpass how to make HP from LP? (Hint:  $h_{LP} \cdot (-1)^n$ )
- **BP** bandpass combine LP with HP
- BS bandstop
- **notch** a very narrow bandstop (e.g with a zero on the unit circle)
- allpass usually used for correcting phase response

- FIR window method (LP example, BP/HP howto)
- FIR optimization methods (Parks-McClellan, called also Remez)
- IIR bilinear transformation
- IIR impulse/step response invariance (next lecture)
- IIR optimization methods (next lecture)

• Ideal filter: 
$$A_0(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for} & |\theta| < \theta_p \\ 0 & \text{for} & \theta_p < |\theta| \le \pi \end{cases}$$
 and zero phase

Impulse response:

$$h_{0}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_{0}(e^{i\theta}) e^{in\theta} d\theta = \frac{\theta_{p}}{\pi} \frac{\sin n\theta_{p}}{n\theta_{p}}$$

is non-causal and infinite!

- Make it finite:  $h_P[n] = h_0[n]g[n](g[n] = 0 \text{ for } |n| > P)$
- Shift it to be causal delay by *P* samples:  $h[n] = h_P[n P]$
- $\rightarrow$  finally we obtain

$$H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{2P} h(n) z^{-n} = z^{-P} H_P(z)$$

## FIR LP filter by window method

LP filter - pass from  $-\theta_p$  to  $+\theta_p$ 

$$h_0(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\theta_p}^{\theta_p} e^{jn\theta} d\theta = \frac{\theta_p}{\pi} \frac{\sin n\theta_p}{n\theta_p}$$

#### Cut at order 120. Shift to be causal.





## FIR - optimization methods

Window method - simple, easy, all under strict control. But is it "best" filter for given order?

- yes a rectangular window gives best approximation in the MS sense
- no we know about problems (Gibbs effect) at the discontinuities so we try to cheat with Windows

So, Parks & Mc Clellan (1972) used Chebyshev (minimax) approximation on discrete set of points in  $\theta$ . They applied E. Ya. Remez (1934) algorithm.



We use analog filter prototype:

- good theory
- prototype polynomials —> known properties
- $\bullet\,$  tables, methods, algorithms  $\longrightarrow$  well known and fast

"Copy" a CT prototype H(s) to DT domain H(z):

- $\longrightarrow$  substitute  $s = \frac{2}{T_d} \frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}}$  (trapezoidal integration of H(s) with step  $T_d$ )
- roll the  $j\omega$  line to  $e^{j\omega}$  circle
- A point  $\theta$  is mapped from  $\omega = \frac{2}{T_d} tan(\theta/2)$
- $\bullet \longrightarrow$  we need to pre-warp our frequency characteristics from  $\theta$  to  $\omega$
- Stability —> left half-plane transformed into inside of unit circle (OK!)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Bilinear transformation: z-plane and s-plane



#### IIR - bilinear transformation - analog prototypes



EDISP (FILTIect) (English) Digital Signal ProcessingFilters &

April 28, 2015 19 / 2

Utilities

- Filtering: y=filter(B,A,x);
  - B numerator coefficients
  - A denominator coefficients (if FIR  $\longrightarrow A = [1]$ )
  - x input samples vector
- Filter frequency response: [h, w]=freqz(B, A);
  - w frequency values (0 to  $\pi$ ),
- abs (h) Magnitude of response
- angle (h) Phase of response ( $-\pi$  to  $\pi$ )
  - Filter group delay: [gd, w]=grpdelay(B, A);

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

• Filter design specification: frequency from 0.0 ( $\rightarrow$  zero) to 1.0 ( $\rightarrow$   $f_s/2$ )

- Window method (FIR): B = FIR2(N, F, A[, window]);
  - N order

Filter design

- F frequency points
- A amplitude characteristics at points specified by F
- window e.g. Bartlett(N+1) or chebwin(N+1, R)
- IIR bilinear method (Butterworth as example):
  - [N, wn]=buttord(Wp, Ws, Rp, Rs);
- Wp, Ws passband freq, stopband freq,
- Rp, Rs ripple in passband, ripple in stopband
- N, wn order and 3dB point warped and adjusted

[B,A]=butter(N, wn);

does the polynomial design and bilinear transform.

イロト イヨト イヨト