EDISP - random signals (former lect9..) (English) Digital Signal Processing

February 10, 2014

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ―臣 … 釣�?

Randomness

We describe as "random" effects that are too complex to precisely analyze in practice, or simply unknown:

- > physical noise: thermal, mechanical, acoustic, radio/radar
- somebody's decisions made from data unknown (to us): aircraft pilotage, human voice

But we know *something* about the constraints: system bandwidth, physical dependencies, vocal tract properties. We describe:

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

value constraints as probability

dependencies as conditional probability \longrightarrow correlation

Description of a random variable

 ξ is a random variable taking some value $x \in X$; for each value x there is some probability that $\xi = x$.

We may imagine ξ as the ensemble of all possible values together with their probabilities, or as the "set of all possible experiments" (**realizations**. Imagine the weather:

- what we see this year is one realization x
- \blacktriangleright what may happen during any year is random variable ξ
- ► it is a variable from a multidimensional space X of "whole yearly weather"

CDF stochastic variable ξ is described by $F_{\xi}(x)$ – the probability that $\xi \leq x$; $F_{\xi}(x)$ is a Cumulative Density Function (or Cumulative Distribution Function)

PDF Probability Density Function is more intuitive, defined as $f_{\xi}(x) = \frac{dF_{\xi}(x)}{dx}$

expectation or probabilistic mean, or mean value:

 $\mu_{\xi} = E[\xi] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf_{\xi}(x)dx$ mean square (MS) value $P_{\xi} = E[\xi^2(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f_{\xi}dx$ variance (mean power of variable component) $\sigma_{\xi}^2 = E[(\xi - \mu_{\xi})^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [x - \mu_{\xi}(t)]^2 f_{\xi}(x)dx$

covariance is a measure of relation between two variables ξ and η . Ξ

Stochastic signal basics (discrete time)

 $\xi[n]$ – a sequence of stochastic variables $\xi(n)$ (as a DT signal x[n] is a sequence of numbers x(n))

Imagine the weather again, concentrating on a scalar value of "maximum temperature of a day":

- ▶ what we see this year is **one realization** *x*[*n*]
- max temperature today is one sample x(n) at n =today
- max temperature on 11 January is a random variable $\xi(n)$ at n = 11 Jan
- max temperature each day of the year is a random signal/process ξ[n]

signal or process: $\xi[n]$ – a set of all possible realizations x[n]

realization: x[n] one sequence, being particular member of the set $\xi[n]$

process value at the moment n_1 , $\xi(n_1)$ is a stochastic variable, described with its PDF $f_{\xi}(x(n_1); n_1)$

 \longrightarrow for the full description of $\xi(n)$ we need all the possible multidimensional (joint) PDF's

 $f_{\xi}(x(n_1), x(n_2), \ldots; n_1, n_2, \ldots)$

practical view: we narrow our interest to the two-dimensional PDF $f_{\xi}(x(n_1), x(n_2); n_1, n_2)$ to be able to tell the relation between the process values at two points in time.

For the stochastic (random) signal, we use the same description with expectation (called *mean*, or precisely *probabilistic mean*), MS value,

Complex signal

Complex signal

$$\xi[n] = \xi_R[n] + j \xi_I[n]$$

Example: complex filtering (convolution of complex signals)

$$h[n] = h_R(n) + jh_I[n]$$

$$\eta[n] = h[n] * \xi[n] \longrightarrow \eta(n) = \sum_{m=0}^n h(m)\xi(n-m)$$

$$\eta_R[n] = h_R[n] * \xi_R[n] - h_I[n] * \xi_I[n]$$

$$\eta_I[n] = h_I[n] * \xi_R[n] + h_R[n] * \xi_I[n]$$

We describe complex random signals with....

Mean value

 $\mu_{\xi}(n) = E[\xi(n)] = E[\xi_{R}(n)] + jE[\xi_{I}(n)] = \mu_{\xi_{R}}(n) + j\mu_{\xi_{I}}(n)$

MS value (mean power)

$$P_{\xi}(n) = E [\xi(n) \xi^*(n)] = E [|\xi(n)|^2]$$

Variance

$$\sigma_{\xi}^{2}(n) = E\left\{\left[\xi(n) - \mu_{\xi}(n)\right]\left[\xi^{*}(n) - \mu_{\xi}^{*}(n)\right]\right\} = E\left[|\xi(n)|^{2} - |\mu_{\xi}(n)|^{2}\right]$$

 Autocorrelation is a measure of dependency between signal values in different time instants

$$R_{\xi\xi}(n_1, n_2) = E[\xi^*(n_1)\xi(n_2)]$$

Autocovariance

$$C_{\xi\xi}(n_1, n_2) = E \left\{ \left[\xi^*(n_1) - \mu^*_{\xi}(n_1) \right] \left[\xi(n_2) - \mu_{\xi}(n_2) \right] \right\}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Stationarity and ergodicity

Signal is stationary when it fulfills the following

$$\mu_{\xi}(n) = \mu_{\xi} = const R_{\xi\xi}(n_1, n_2) = R_{\xi\xi}(m), \quad m = n_2 - n_1$$

Time-domain mean is defined as: $\langle x[n] \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} x(n)$ Time-domain correlation is defined as: $\psi_{xx}(m) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} x^*(n) x(n+m)$

Signal is ergodic when it fulfills the following

$$< x[n] > = \mu_{\xi} = const$$

 $\psi_{xx}(m) = R_{\xi\xi}(m), \ m = n_2 - n_1$

In other words, *ergodicity* means that we can draw conclusions on *probabilistic* mean, variance, and autocorrelation from *time-domain* mean, mean power, autocorrelation. We usually have to assume the signal is ergodic, no simple test exists

Power spectrum density (PSD)

For a stationary $\xi[n]$, $C_{\xi\xi}(n1, n2) = C_{\xi\xi}(m)$, $m = n_2 - n_1$.

$$C_{\xi\xi}(m) = E \left\{ \left[\xi^*(n) - \mu^*_{\xi} \right] \left[\xi(n+m) - \mu_{\xi} \right] \right\}$$

If our signal $\xi[n]$ is zero-mean: $\mu_{\xi} = 0$ then $C_{\xi\xi}(m) = R_{\xi\xi}(m)$ (if not, use $\xi_1[n] = \xi[n] - \mu_{\xi}$). Power spectrum density of a stationary discrete signal $\xi[n]$ (MS convergent if σ_{ξ}^2 is bounded)

$$\mathcal{S}_{\xi\xi}(heta) \;=\; \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \, C_{\xi\xi}(m) e^{-jm heta}$$

Periodic (over 2π)

▶ (if $\xi[n]$ is real) $\longrightarrow S_{\xi\xi}(\theta) \ge 0$, symmetric

$$\triangleright \ C_{\xi\xi}(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_{\xi\xi}(\theta) e^{jm\theta} d\theta$$

Autocovariance and PSD estimation

 $\xi[n]$ (stationary, ergodic) \longrightarrow estimation of properties from *N* (finite number) of samples of x[n].

mean value estimate

$$\hat{\mu}_{\xi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)$$

Variance estimate

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\xi}^{2} = rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[x^{*}(n) - \hat{\mu}_{\xi}^{*}
ight] \left[x(n) - \hat{\mu}_{\xi}
ight]$$

• Autocovariance estimate (equal to autocorrelation with $\mu_{\xi} = 0$)

$$\hat{R}_{\xi\xi}(m) = rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-|m|-1} x^*(n) x(n+m), \ -(N-1) \le m \le N-1$$
 (or, maybe $\frac{1}{N-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-|m|-1} x^*(n) x(n+m)$

PSD estimate

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\xi\xi}(heta) \ = \ \sum_{m=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \hat{R}_{\xi\xi}(m) e^{-jm heta}$$

Estimation accuracy

The actual values μ_{ξ} , $\xi[n]$, $\hat{\mu}_{\xi}$, $R_{\xi\xi}(m)$, $S_{\xi\xi}(\theta)$ are constant (=not random) From different **realizations** x[n] we obtain different estimates. Estimates $\hat{\sigma}_{\xi}^2$, $\hat{R}_{\xi\xi}(m)$, $\hat{S}_{\xi\xi}(\theta)$ are random \longrightarrow How to measure the accuracy of estimate?

bias $B = \alpha - E[\hat{\alpha}]$ variance $var[\hat{\alpha}] = \sigma_{\hat{\alpha}}^2 = E\{[\hat{\alpha}^* - E(\hat{\alpha})^*] [\alpha - E(\hat{\alpha})]\}$ MS error $E[|\hat{\alpha} - \alpha|^2] = B^2 + \sigma_{\hat{\alpha}}^2$ consistency $\lim_{N\to\infty} var[\hat{\alpha}] \to 0$ and $\lim_{N\to\infty} B[\hat{\alpha}] \to 0$ If $\xi[n]$ is stationary and gaussian ...

- ▶ mean value estimate $\hat{\mu}_{\xi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) \longrightarrow$ unbiased, with variance σ_{ξ}^2/N
- ► variance estimate $\hat{\sigma}_{\xi}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [x^*(n) \hat{\mu}_{\xi}^*] [x(n) \hat{\mu}_{\xi}]$ \longrightarrow bias $B[\hat{\sigma}_{\xi}^2] = \sigma_{\xi}^2/N$, variance $var[\hat{\sigma}_{\xi}^2] \sim 1/N$ (consistent)

Autocovariance and PSD estimate properties

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}_{\xi\xi}(m) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-|m|-1} x^*(n) x(n+m) \text{ is biased:} \\ & E[\hat{R}_{\xi\xi}(m)] = \frac{N-|m|}{N} R_{\xi\xi}(m) \\ var[\hat{R}_{\xi\xi}(m)] &\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[R_{\xi\xi}^2(r) + R_{\xi\xi}(r+m) R_{\xi\xi}(r-m) \right], \quad N \gg m \\ \hat{S}_{\xi\xi}(\theta) &= \sum_{m=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \hat{R}_{\xi\xi}(m) e^{-jm\theta} \\ & E[\hat{S}_{\xi\xi}(\theta)] &= \sum_{m=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \frac{N-|m|}{N} R_{\xi\xi}(m) e^{-jm\theta} \\ var[\hat{S}_{\xi\xi}(\theta)] &= S_{\xi\xi}^2(\theta) \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{\sin N\theta}{N\sin \theta} \right]^2 \right\} \quad \text{very large, estimate not consistent!} \end{split}$$

Periodogram

Periodogram is a method to estimate PSD that is faster! As the ACF is estimated from the convolution

$$\hat{R}_{\xi\xi}[m] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N} x^*[m] * x[-m], & |m| \le N - 1\\ 0, & |m| > N - 1 \end{cases}$$

we may rewrite $\hat{S}_{\xi\xi}(\theta)$ using transforms (in the following $x_1[m] = x[-m]$)

$$\hat{S}_{\xi\xi}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot X^*(e^{j\theta}) \cdot X_1(e^{j\theta})$$

$$X_{1}(e^{j\theta}) = \sum_{n=-(N-1)}^{0} x_{1}(n)e^{-jn\theta} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_{1}(-n)e^{jn\theta} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [x^{*}(n)e^{-jn\theta}]^{*} = [X^{*}(e^{j\theta})$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

finally

$$\hat{S}_{\xi\xi}(heta) \, = rac{1}{N} \cdot |X(ext{e}^{j heta})|^2$$

Further: we can transform N-sample sections of $x^*[n]$, $x_1[n]$ and then average periodograms, reducing variance.

Practical implementations of periodogram

- Choose FFT length to avoid cyclic efects
- Average K segments (of length M = N/K) to reduce variance at the cost of bias (Bartlett procedure).
- Add overlapping of segments and use non-rectangular window (Welch procedure).

$$\hat{S}_{W}^{i}(\theta) = \frac{1}{MF} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x^{i}(n) g(n) e^{-jn\theta} \right|^{2}, \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., K \text{ is a segment number})$$

$$F = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} g^{2}(n) \text{ (energetic normalizing factor)}$$

$$\hat{S}_{W\xi\xi}(\theta) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \hat{S}_{W}^{i}(\theta)$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Filtering of random signals

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{process} & x_1[n] \longrightarrow \\ \xi[n] & x_2[n] \longrightarrow \\ & \dots \longrightarrow \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} H(z) & \longrightarrow y_1[n] & \mathsf{process} \\ \longrightarrow y_2[n] & \eta[n] \\ \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

For a stationary $\xi[n]$:

mean value:

$$\mu_{\eta} = \mu_{\xi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n) = \mu_{\xi} H(e^{j\theta})|_{\theta=0}$$
(1)

autocorrelation

$$R_{\eta\eta}(m) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{\xi\xi}(m-i) v(i) \quad \text{where} \quad v(i) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k) h(k+i)$$
(2)

power spectrum density

$$S_{\eta\eta}(\theta) = S_{\xi\xi}(\theta) |H(e^{j\theta})|^2$$
 (3)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Applications

- Analysis of AD conversion errors
- Analysis of arithmetic errors in filters
- ► Signal modelling → compression (LPC):
 - on the compression side, a filter is tuned so that noise passed through it has the properties of the signal being compressed;
 - only filter coefficients are transmitted;
 - signal is reconstructed from noise passed through a filter
- System modelling —> identification
- ► Signal detection → matched filter (presence, time of arrival);
 - ▶ a filter matched to signal x(n) has the impulse response h(n) = x(M n); such a filter maximizes the S/N ratio if the noise is gaussian and white
 - if the noise is not white, we may whiten it with another filter (whitening filter): we may model the noise as if it was white, but then filtered with a filter with transfer function G(z); then the whitening filter will be 1/G(z)....